

DOI: 10.16781/j.CN31-2187/R.20220642

· 综述 ·

脓毒症休克快速心律失常研究进展

唐念中*, 刘芬

海军军医大学(第二军医大学)第一附属医院重症医学科, 上海 200081

[摘要] 快速心律失常是脓毒症休克的重要临床特点, 控制不良的持续性心动过速与不良预后密切相关。脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常的发生机制复杂, 药物治疗仍然是当今控制脓毒症休克相关心律失常的主要手段。药物选择存在多样性, 对抗心律失常药物的选择及使用方法还有待进一步研究。本文主要对脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常的发病机制及治疗等方面的研究进展进行综述。

[关键词] 脓毒症; 脓毒症休克; 快速心律失常; 发病机制; 药物治疗

[引用本文] 唐念中, 刘芬. 脓毒症休克快速心律失常研究进展[J]. 海军军医大学学报, 2023, 44(11): 1328-1336. DOI: 10.16781/j.CN31-2187/R.20220642.

Tachyarrhythmia in septic shock: research progress

TANG Nianzhong*, LIU Fen

Department of Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Naval Medical University (Second Military Medical University), Shanghai 200081, China

[Abstract] Tachyarrhythmia is an important clinical feature of septic shock. Poorly controlled persistent tachycardia is closely related to poor prognosis. The pathogenesis of septic shock-related tachyarrhythmia is complex, and drug therapy is still the main choice to control septic shock-related arrhythmia. Diversity of drug choices exists, so the selection and use of antiarrhythmic drugs need to be further studied. This article mainly reviews the research progress in the pathogenesis and treatment of septic shock-related tachyarrhythmia.

[Key words] sepsis; septic shock; tachyarrhythmia; pathogenesis; drug therapy

[Citation] TANG N, LIU F. Tachyarrhythmia in septic shock: research progress[J]. Acad J Naval Med Univ, 2023, 44(11): 1328-1336. DOI: 10.16781/j.CN31-2187/R.20220642.

脓毒症、脓毒症休克是免疫系统对感染过度反应的结果, 可导致致命的器官功能障碍, 约占ICU患者死亡原因的30%^[1-2]。快速心律失常是脓毒症休克重要的临床特征, 并且在已纠正低血容量、贫血、疼痛和躁动等导致心动过速的病因后往往仍持续存在^[3]。对脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常的类型及发生率有众多较为一致的报告, Shahreyar等^[4]通过对170多万例脓毒症、脓毒症休克患者分析发现各类心律失常的发生率为28%, 其中心房颤动(以下简称房颤)的发生率为19%, 其他依次为心房扑动(以下简称房扑)2%、室性心动过速2%、阵发性室上性心动过速0.6%, 并且心律失常可导致较高的院内死亡率及较长的

住院时间。周淑清和沈涛^[5]回顾性分析了542例脓毒症及脓毒症休克患者的临床资料, 发现快速心律失常的发生率为27.3%, 其中房颤15.13%、房扑1.29%、阵发性室上性心动过速3.14%、室性心动过速4.43%、心室颤动3.51%, 快速心律失常可增加患者28d死亡率。Herasevich等^[6]分析180例脓毒症、脓毒症休克患者心律失常类型发现, 房颤、房扑占85.5%, 窦性心动过速占12.8%, 包括室上性心动过速在内的其他心律失常占1.7%。众多研究发现, 脓毒症休克相关的快速心律失常主要集中在室上性心律失常^[7-10], 而以新发房颤发生率最高, 约占各类室上性心律失常的70%^[6,11], 而且脓症患者随着病情从较轻阶段发展到休克阶段,

[收稿日期] 2022-08-01 [接受日期] 2023-02-28

[作者简介] 唐念中, 博士, 主治医师。

*通信作者(Corresponding author). Tel: 021-81867291, E-mail: tangnianzhong@126.com

快速心律失常的发生率不断上升。Kuipers等^[12]通过meta分析发现,脓毒症、脓毒症休克新发房颤的平均发生率分别是8%、23%。Meierhenrich等^[13]报道脓毒症新发房颤(心室率 $>110\text{ min}^{-1}$)的发生率为4.5%,而在脓毒症休克患者中高达46%。脓毒症休克持续性心动过速限制心室充盈,氧耗增加,可诱发心动过速相关的心肌病、心肌抑钝,以及因钙超载导致的多种心肌不良反应^[14-17],与患者短期和长期的不良预后密切相关^[12,18-19],也是死亡率增加的独立危险因素^[20]。相反,将心率保持在正常范围内,可提高脓毒症休克患者的生存率^[21],因此及时控制快速心律失常对救治脓毒症休克患者具有十分重要的临床意义。脓毒症休克相关的快速心律失常发生机制复杂,抗心律失常药物一般对血流动力学都有不利影响,致使人们对脓毒症休克抗心律失常的治疗存有困惑。本文主要就脓毒症休克相关的快速心律失常的发病机制及治疗等方面的研究进展进行综述。

1 脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常的发病机制

1.1 儿茶酚胺对心脏的毒性作用 儿茶酚胺是体内调节心血管功能十分重要的神经递质,包括多巴胺、去甲肾上腺素和肾上腺素。脓毒症休克时交感-肾上腺髓质系统兴奋,去甲肾上腺素和肾上腺素大量释放入血,血液内儿茶酚胺水平较正常生理状态下升高几十倍甚至上千倍。随着血循环中内生性儿茶酚胺的增加, β 受体数量下调、对儿茶酚胺敏感性下降^[22-26],需要补充外源性儿茶酚胺类药物维持血液循环,从而使心脏暴露于大量儿茶酚胺中。心脏上具有丰富的 β 受体,最容易受到交感神经的过度刺激,Tang和Liu^[27]对脓毒症动物模型的研究发现,在脓毒症早期(发病9h之前) β 受体表达增加,在大量的儿茶酚胺的作用下,心脏的兴奋性、传导性、自律性显著升高,并出现一系列诸如快速心律失常、舒张期功能受损、心肌缺血等不良后果^[28]。心脏在大量的儿茶酚胺长时间作用下,可发生冠状动脉痉挛、微循环功能障碍等^[29],造成心肌缺血,从而大大增加各种快速心律失常的发生风险^[30-31]。心脏 β 受体受到强烈刺激后导致的心肌需氧与供氧的失衡、代谢的变化、细胞凋亡及心肌坏死可造成心肌适应性不良重构,从而导致心脏结构性改变^[17,29,32-33],还可诱发各种快速心律

失常。

1.2 自主神经功能受损 自主神经功能不论在生理还是病理条件下,对机体心血管系统的平衡发挥了关键性作用,在脓毒症、脓毒症休克时自主神经功能受损,导致迷走-交感神经张力处于失衡状态,交感神经兴奋,迷走神经受抑制,心率变异度降低^[34-37],且表现为心率增快^[38]。脓毒症自主神经功能受损的机制虽然还不十分明确,但此现象已被大量的实验证实。Ramchandra等^[39]给意识清醒的羊注入大肠埃希菌后对区域交感神经活性变化进行的研究发现,心脏的交感神经活性增加,并伴有心率明显增快。Vayssettes-Courchay等^[40]也发现注射内毒素的麻醉大鼠肾脏的交感神经活性明显增加,并与心动过速有很强的关联性。Gholami等^[41]报道,全身炎症与心脏对胆碱能刺激的反应性降低有关,这可能导致心脏起搏细胞部分脱离自主副交感神经控制。另一项研究也表明,IL-6受体在小鼠心房中表达及重组IL-6在隔离心房中孵育都会损害对胆碱能刺激的负变时性反应^[42]。这些报道^[41-42]反映了脓毒症诱导下窦房结与胆碱能神经控制的解耦联,可以解释全身炎症期间心率变异度降低及心率增快的原因。另有研究发现,脓毒症可导致中枢自主神经功能系统受损,心脏自主中枢神经元和神经胶质细胞的凋亡增加,导致心率变异功能丧失,心率增快^[43]。

1.3 炎症 脓毒症、脓毒症休克时的炎症反应除可造成植物神经功能损害外,大量炎症介质及炎症细胞可直接作用于心肌细胞,促进心律失常的发生,如IL-6增加了成人心肌细胞L型钙离子通道电流及降低了心肌肌浆网钙-ATP酶的表达,从而改变心肌细胞的电生理性能,诱发心律失常^[44]。Lee等^[45]发现,TNF- α 直接作用于兔子的心肌细胞,降低了心肌细胞钙-ATP酶的表达,使心肌细胞易于发生延迟后去极化而导致房颤。Zuo等^[46]报道,TNF- α 通过活性氧迅速增加钙离子自发性释放,促进心律失常的发生。另有研究发现,急性炎症时TNF- α 和IL-1 β 可能会促进钙离子从肌浆网泄漏,促进心肌细胞的触发活动,导致心律失常^[47]。除以上炎症因子外,其他炎症分子如IL-1、IL-2、IL-8、IL-10等都与房颤等心律失常的发生有关^[48]。不仅是炎症因子,炎症细胞本身也直接与心肌细胞发生相互作用,改变心肌细胞的电生理活性,诱发心律

失常^[49]。炎症细胞还可以通过对心房组织的浸润,致使心房电重构、结构重构,导致房颤的发生^[50]。

1.4 电解质紊乱 脓毒症、脓毒症休克时大量儿茶酚胺物质作用于 β 受体,激活细胞膜上 $\text{Na}^+ - \text{K}^+ - \text{ATP}$ 酶,使细胞外的钾向细胞内转移^[51-52],导致低钾血症。脓毒症时肾素-血管紧张素-醛固酮系统激活,继发性醛固酮增多,以及利尿剂、外源性胰岛素的使用等因素都会导致低血钾,低血钾时心肌兴奋性升高、自律性增加,易产生异位节律而形成各种快速心律失常。低钙血症也是脓毒症的主要临床特征,脓毒症相关的低钙血症高达70%,在炎症介质的刺激下,细胞膜的完整性受损、钙通道功能障碍等因素导致大量钙离子内流是脓毒症相关低钙血症的主要因素^[53],低血钙时心肌兴奋性升高,动作电位平台期延长,心肌不应期亦延长,容易诱发各种快速心律失常。脓毒症也是低镁血症独立的影响因素^[54],低镁血症增加了严重脓毒症患者的死亡率^[55],低水平的镁会引起严重的心脏改变^[56],特别是心肌电生理特性改变,如 $\text{Na}^+ - \text{K}^+ - \text{ATP}$ 酶失灵,导致心肌细胞静息电位负值显著变小和相对去极化,心肌兴奋性升高。低镁血症时,镁对钠的阻断作用减弱而内流相对加速,心肌快反应自律细胞自动去极化加速,自律性升高,从而诱发房颤、室上性心动过速、心室颤动等心律失常^[57]。低镁血症还会通过影响钾、钙的代谢,导致或加重低钾血症和低钙血症^[58-59],进一步促进心律失常的发生。

2 脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常的治疗

快速心律失常是脓毒症、脓毒症休克重要的临床表现之一,快速心率的控制对脓毒症,特别是脓毒症休克患者稳定血流动力学、改善心脏舒张功能等方面具有十分重要的作用^[60]。越来越多的证据表明在危重患者中单独控制心率就可改善血流动力学,而不依赖于心律转复至窦性心律^[61-62],心率的下降可伴随着心脏每搏输出指数的升高,中心静脉压的下降,反映了心肌工作效率的提升^[17]。近年来对脓毒症患者的心率控制越来越受到人们的重视,抗心律失常的治疗应针对其发生机制进行针对性处理,在纠正容量、贫血、控制体温及抗感染、减轻炎症反应、尽量减少儿茶酚胺类药物的基础上使用抗心律失常药非常必要。

2.1 β -受体拮抗剂 β -受体拮抗剂过去很少用于

治疗脓毒症休克,特别是对伴有脓毒性心肌病的患者。因为 β -受体拮抗剂具有降低血压、负性肌力等作用,并对已经有心肌抑钝的心脏进一步造成泵衰竭。但近年来随着儿茶酚胺类物质在脓毒症、脓毒性心肌病中的作用机制逐渐揭示, β -受体拮抗剂在脓毒症治疗中受到人们的重视,越来越多的证据表明, β -受体拮抗剂不但能控制脓毒症、脓毒症休克患者的心率,改善舒张期心室充盈,而且还具有提高心脏、血管对儿茶酚胺的敏感性,降低血清中TNF- α 、IL-6等促炎因子水平,对增强心脏收缩力、保护心脏功能都起到重要作用^[3,63-65]。艾司洛尔是高选择性 β_1 -受体拮抗剂,起效快、作用时间短,是常用的脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常治疗药物,但其有效性和安全性尚有争议^[66]。Morelli等^[67]证实艾司洛尔在降低脓毒症休克患者心率的同时不会增加不良事件的发生,给脓毒症休克患者静脉注射艾司洛尔控制心率治疗是可行的。一些实验证据表明,艾司洛尔即使小剂量服用也能发挥全身抗炎功效,并对血管张力有一定的改善作用,避免了低血压等副作用^[63]。在脓毒症休克动物模型中也发现,艾司洛尔能增加心脏每搏输出量^[68],明显提高存活率^[69]。但Levy等^[70]报道称,艾司洛尔治疗脓毒症休克6h、以降低20%的心率为目标时,心率下降的同时低血压的发生风险增加,心脏指数下降。Cocchi等^[71]在艾司洛尔治疗脓毒症休克心动过速的临床研究中也未发现令人期待的结果,治疗组12h的升压药需求比对照组明显增加,两组休克逆转的时间、无休克天数、需要肾脏替代治疗及机械通气均无显著差异。在炎症标志物方面,除了艾司洛尔组CRP低于对照组外,两组间TNF- α 、IL-4、IL-6、IL-10等均无差异。以上众多的研究结果表明,推荐以艾司洛尔为代表的 β_1 -受体拮抗剂常规应用于脓症患者之前,还需要更多的研究来解开临床的重要问题,诸如对血流动力学的影响、在具有不同风险亚群患者中的使用及给药时间等问题均需大型临床试验进一步确认。

2.2 胺碘酮 胺碘酮属Ⅲ类抗心律失常药物,对 I_{Na} 、 $\text{I}_{\text{Ca}}(\text{L})$ 、 I_{k} 、 I_{k1} 、 I_{to} 等多种离子通道均有抑制作用,具有控制节律和速率的作用。由于胺碘酮对血流动力学和心肌收缩力影响较小^[72-74],在脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常治疗中也不失为一种选择,有报道称胺碘酮是治疗脓毒症休克伴新发房颤

的最常用藥物^[13,75],但其沒有明顯的改善心功能、抗炎等作用,且有室性心動過速等多種不良反應,藥物半衰期長達數周,停藥後作用可持續4~6周,治療效果難以確定^[75-76]。有研究認為,胺碘酮可能會比較適合心臟指數 $\leq 2.5 \text{ L}/(\text{min} \cdot \text{m}^2)$ 的膿毒症心源性休克患者的治療,但對這組亞群的療效還有待進一步研究^[74]。

2.3 普羅帕酮 普羅帕酮屬IC類抗心律失常藥物,除明顯的阻滯鈉通道作用外,具有競爭性地作用 β 受體,還尚有微弱的鈣拮抗作用,也是臨床上十分常用的抗心律失常藥。研究顯示,與胺碘酮相比,普羅帕酮對新發房顫的復律成功率高,轉復時間短^[77-78]。Balik等^[78]比較了普羅帕酮與胺碘酮、美托洛爾治療膿毒症休克室上性心律失常的療效,認為普羅帕酮安全、有效、可以耐受。但有學者認為基於該研究的设计很難得出普羅帕酮治療效果與胺碘酮相當的結論^[10]。總體而言,普羅帕酮對膿毒症、膿毒症休克相關快速心律失常的療效研究不多,特別是對血流動力學的影響還缺乏全面的评价。

2.4 伊伐布雷定 伊伐布雷定通過選擇性和特異性抑制竇房結 I_f 電流而降低心率,因其沒有負性肌力和降低血壓作用而受到人們關注,并被推薦治療左室射血分數(left ventricular ejection fraction, LVEF)降低(LVEF $\leq 35\%$)且心率 $\geq 70 \text{ min}^{-1}$ 的慢性心力衰竭患者^[79]。近年來多個臨床試驗和臨床研究探討了伊伐布雷定治療膿毒症休克和多器官衰竭的作用,均未得出結論性和一致性的結果,特別是該藥在膿毒症休克患者血流動力學、血管升壓藥物抵抗和炎症標志等方面沒有觀察到顯著的效果^[80-83]。由於伊伐布雷定特異性地作用於竇房結細胞,因而對房性心動過速、交界性心動過速等快速心律失常均沒有明確的療效,這在很大程度上限制了該藥的應用。此外,伊伐布雷特有增加房顫發生率及延長Q-T間期、竇房結恢復時間等不良反應^[84-86],因為存在心律失常的潛在風險,因此伊伐布雷定的安全性仍需謹慎監測。

2.5 α_2 受體激動劑 該藥作用於中樞及外周血管 α_2 受體,具有抑制交感神經活性、降低循環中兒茶酚胺含量、減慢心率等作用。以右美托咪定為代表的 α_2 受體激動劑是ICU中用於有創通氣的鎮靜藥物,現有證據表明,右美托咪定在膿毒症休克治療中具有上調 α_1 受體表達、增加血管 α_1 受體對兒

茶酚胺的敏感性及抗炎等作用^[76,87-88]。在膿毒症休克動物模型中證實,靜脈輸注中樞 α_2 受體激動劑右美托咪定,血管對兒茶酚胺和血管緊張素的反應幾乎完全恢復至膿毒症休克前的狀態^[89]。近年,人們針對右美托咪定對膿毒症休克血流動力學的影響也做了一些探索性研究。Miranda等^[90]研究發現,右美托咪定在降低心率的同時並沒有降低平均動脈壓。Cioccarri等^[91]的研究顯示與對照組相比,膿毒症休克患者使用右美托咪定治療後第1個48h內對升壓藥的需求並未增加。但近期的研究發現,右美托咪定與較低的血管加壓素需求有關,以維持膿毒症休克中相同的平均動脈壓目標^[91-92]。雖然以上為一些探索性研究,對評估血流動力學的影響也不夠全面,但對治療膿毒症休克相關快速心律失常,特別是同時需要鎮靜的患者提供了很好的借鑒。

2.6 非二氫吡啶類鈣離子拮抗劑 維拉帕米是臨床上十分常用的抗心律失常藥,眾多研究發現以維拉帕米為代表的非二氫吡啶類鈣離子拮抗劑在膿毒症休克中通過減少TNF等促炎因子的釋放及增加抗炎因子IL-10的產生,降低膿毒症、膿毒症休克的死亡率^[92-94]。近年來有研究發現,維拉帕米可以減少膿毒症休克細胞內鈣超載,改善患者預後,為維拉帕米在治療膿毒症中的使用提供了新靶點^[53]。但維拉帕米具有降低竇房結自律性、減慢傳導、負性肌力等藥理作用,會增加心力衰竭、心源性休克的風險,因而維拉帕米對膿毒症休克快速相關心律失常的治療效果及安全性還有待進一步評估。

2.7 洋地黃類藥 洋地黃類藥物在膿毒症、膿毒症休克相關快速心律失常中的應用並不常見,但早年就有研究證實,洋地黃類藥在膿毒症、膿毒症休克中具有逆轉內毒素誘導的心肌收縮力下降、提高每搏輸出量及心輸出量等積極效果^[95-97]。近年也有研究發現,洋地黃類藥在減慢膿毒症患者心率的同時能明顯改善血流動力學^[6]。這些結果值得人們對該藥在治療膿毒症休克相關快速心律失常的有效性和安全性方面做進一步評估。

3 小 結

快速心律失常是膿毒症、膿毒症休克重要的危險因素,控制好快速心律失常大大降低了心臟能量需求,從而在能量受損的情況下使心臟能量產生和消耗之間建立較好的平衡^[98],對改善病情具有

积极意义。脓毒症、脓毒症休克相关快速心律失常的发病机制复杂,在治疗上还有许多争议,抗心律失常药物的选择及使用方法还有待进一步研究。

[参 考 文 献]

- [1] KAUKONEN K M, BAILEY M, SUZUKI S, et al. Mortality related to severe sepsis and septic shock among critically ill patients in Australia and New Zealand, 2000-2012[J]. *JAMA*, 2014, 311(13): 1308-1316. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2014.2637.
- [2] GIACOMINI M G, LOPES M V C A, GANDOLFI J V, et al. Septic shock: a major cause of hospital death after intensive care unit discharge[J]. *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva*, 2015, 27(1): 51-56. DOI: 10.5935/0103-507X.20150009.
- [3] ACKLAND G L, YAO S T, RUDIGER A, et al. Cardioprotection, attenuated systemic inflammation, and survival benefit of beta1-adrenoceptor blockade in severe sepsis in rats[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2010, 38(2): 388-394. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181c03dfa.
- [4] SHAHREYAR M, FAHMOUM R, AKINSEYE O, et al. Severe sepsis and cardiac arrhythmias[J]. *Ann Transl Med*, 2018, 6(1): 6. DOI: 10.21037/atm.2017.12.26.
- [5] 周淑清,沈涛.脓毒症新发心律失常的临床调查[J]. *内科急危重症杂志*, 2019, 25(6): 478-481. DOI: 10.11768/nkjwzzzz20190611.
- [6] HERASEVICH S, BENNETT C E, SCHWEGMAN A R, et al. Hemodynamic profiles following digoxin use in patients with sepsis in the ICU[J]. *J Crit Care*, 2019, 54: 175-179. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2019.08.026.
- [7] MOSS T J, CALLAND J F, ENFIELD K B, et al. New-onset atrial fibrillation in the critically ill[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2017, 45(5): 790-797. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002325.
- [8] MAKRYGIANNIS S S, RIZIKOU D, PATSOURAKOS N G, et al. New-onset atrial fibrillation and clinical outcome in non-cardiac intensive care unit patients[J]. *Aust Crit Care*, 2018, 31(5): 274-277. DOI: 10.1016/j.aucc.2017.08.002.
- [9] LEWIS O, NGWA J, GILLUM R F, et al. Incidence, risk factors and outcomes of new onset supraventricular arrhythmias in African American patients with severe sepsis[J]. *Ethn Dis*, 2016, 26(2): 205-212. DOI: 10.18865/ed.26.2.205.
- [10] KHOURY J, AZZAM Z S. Propafenone for supraventricular arrhythmias in septic shock—comparison to amiodarone and metoprolol[J]. *J Crit Care*, 2018, 45: 247. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.01.009.
- [11] BALIK M, MATOUSEK V, MALY M, et al. Management of arrhythmia in sepsis and septic shock[J]. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther*, 2017, 49(5): 419-429. DOI: 10.5603/ait.a2017.0061.
- [12] KUIPERS S, KLEIN KLOUWENBERG P M, CREMER O L. Incidence, risk factors and outcomes of new-onset atrial fibrillation in patients with sepsis: a systematic review[J]. *Crit Care*, 2014, 18(6): 688. DOI: 10.1186/s13054-014-0688-5.
- [13] MEIERHENRICH R, STEINHILBER E, EGGERMANN C, et al. Incidence and prognostic impact of new-onset atrial fibrillation in patients with septic shock: a prospective observational study[J]. *Crit Care*, 2010, 14(3): R108. DOI: 10.1186/cc9057.
- [14] RUDIGER A, SINGER M. Mechanisms of sepsis-induced cardiac dysfunction[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2007, 35(6): 1599-1608. DOI: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000266683.64081.02.
- [15] SCHMITTINGER C A, DÜNSER M W, TORGERSEN C, et al. Histologic pathologies of the myocardium in septic shock[J]. *Shock*, 2013, 39(4): 329-335. DOI: 10.1097/shk.0b013e318289376b.
- [16] ROMERO-BERMEJO F J, RUIZ-BAILEN M, GIL-CEBRIAN J, et al. Sepsis-induced cardiomyopathy[J]. *Curr Cardiol Rev*, 2011, 7(3): 163-183. DOI: 10.2174/157340311798220494.
- [17] DÜNSER M W, HASIBEDER W R. Sympathetic overstimulation during critical illness: adverse effects of adrenergic stress[J]. *J Intensive Care Med*, 2009, 24(5): 293-316. DOI: 10.1177/0885066609340519.
- [18] ARRIGO M, BETTEX D, RUDIGER A. Management of atrial fibrillation in critically ill patients[J]. *Crit Care Res Pract*, 2014, 2014: 840615. DOI: 10.1155/2014/840615.
- [19] SLEESWIJK M E, VAN NOORD T, TULLEKEN J E, et al. Clinical review: treatment of new-onset atrial fibrillation in medical intensive care patients—a clinical framework[J]. *Crit Care*, 2007, 11(6): 233. DOI: 10.1186/cc6136.
- [20] LEIBOVICI L, GAFTER-GVILI A, PAUL M, et al. Relative tachycardia in patients with sepsis: an independent risk factor for mortality[J]. *QJM*, 2007, 100(10): 629-634. DOI: 10.1093/qjmed/hcm074.
- [21] BEESLEY S J, WILSON E L, LANSPA M J, et al. Relative bradycardia in patients with septic shock requiring vasopressor therapy[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2017, 45(2): 225-233. DOI: 10.1097/ccm.0000000000002065.
- [22] CARRARA M, FERRARIO M, BOLLEN PINTO B, et al. The autonomic nervous system in septic shock and its role as a future therapeutic target: a narrative review[J]. *Ann Intensive Care*, 2021, 11(1): 80. DOI: 10.1186/s13613-021-00869-7.
- [23] VASUDEVAN N T, MOHAN M L, GOSWAMI S K,

- et al. Regulation of β -adrenergic receptor function[J]. *Cell Cycle*, 2011, 10(21): 3684-3691. DOI: 10.4161/cc.10.21.18042.
- [24] ROCKMAN H A, KOCH W J, LEFKOWITZ R J. Seven-transmembrane-spanning receptors and heart function[J]. *Nature*, 2002, 415(6868): 206-212. DOI: 10.1038/415206a.
- [25] WU L L, YANG S L, YANG R C, et al. G protein and adenylate cyclase complex-mediated signal transduction in the rat heart during sepsis[J]. *Shock*, 2003, 19(6): 533-537. DOI: 10.1097/01.shk.0000055816.40894.cd.
- [26] KADOI Y, SAITO S, KAWAHARA F, et al. G-protein coupled receptor kinase 2 is altered during septic shock in rats[J]. *J Surg Res*, 2002, 108(1): 69-76. DOI: 10.1006/jsre.2002.6524.
- [27] TANG C, LIU M S. Initial externalization followed by internalization of β -adrenergic receptors in rat heart during sepsis[J]. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 1996, 270(1 Pt 2): R254-R263. DOI: 10.1152/ajpregu.1996.270.1.r254.
- [28] BELLETTI A, LANDONI G, LOMIVOROTOV V V, et al. Adrenergic downregulation in critical care: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic evidence[J]. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*, 2020, 34(4): 1023-1041. DOI: 10.1053/j.jvca.2019.10.017.
- [29] PELLICCIA F, KASKI J C, CREA F, et al. Pathophysiology of takotsubo syndrome[J]. *Circulation*, 2017, 135(24): 2426-2441. DOI: 10.1161/circulationaha.116.027121.
- [30] WITKOWSKA M, HALAWA B. Beta-adrenergic receptors and catecholamines in acute myocardial infarction[J]. *Mater Med Pol*, 1989, 21(3): 195-198.
- [31] SCHÖMIG A, RICHARDT G, KURZ T. Sympathoadrenergic activation of the ischemic myocardium and its arrhythmogenic impact[J]. *Herz*, 1995, 20(3): 169-186.
- [32] LYMPEROPOULOS A, RENGO G, KOCH W J. Adrenergic nervous system in heart failure: pathophysiology and therapy[J]. *Circ Res*, 2013, 113(6): 739-753. DOI: 10.1161/circresaha.113.300308.
- [33] SINGH K, XIAO L, REMONDINO A, et al. Adrenergic regulation of cardiac myocyte apoptosis[J]. *J Cell Physiol*, 2001, 189(3): 257-265. DOI: 10.1002/jcp.10024.
- [34] PONG J Z, FOOK-CHONG S, KOH Z X, et al. Combining heart rate variability with disease severity score variables for mortality risk stratification in septic patients presenting at the emergency department[J]. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 2019, 16(10): 1725. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph16101725.
- [35] PRABHAKAR S M, TAGAMI T, LIU N, et al. Combining quick sequential organ failure assessment score with heart rate variability may improve predictive ability for mortality in septic patients at the emergency department[J]. *PLoS One*, 2019, 14(3): e0213445. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0213445.
- [36] JOSHI R, KOMMERS D, OOSTERWIJK L, et al. Predicting neonatal sepsis using features of heart rate variability, respiratory characteristics, and ECG-derived estimates of infant motion[J]. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform*, 2020, 24(3): 681-692. DOI: 10.1109/JBHI.2019.2927463.
- [37] DE CASTILHO F M, RIBEIRO A L P, DA SILVA J L P, et al. Heart rate variability as predictor of mortality in sepsis: a prospective cohort study[J]. *PLoS One*, 2017, 12(6): e0180060. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180060.
- [38] SHARSHAR T, ANNANE D, DE LA GRADMAISON G L, et al. The neuropathology of septic shock[J]. *Brain Pathol*, 2004, 14(1): 21-33. DOI: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2004.tb00494.x.
- [39] RAMCHANDRA R, WAN L, HOOD S G, et al. Septic shock induces distinct changes in sympathetic nerve activity to the heart and kidney in conscious sheep[J]. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 2009, 297(5): R1247-R1253. DOI: 10.1152/ajpregu.00437.2009.
- [40] VAYSSETTES-COURCHAY C, BOUYSSSET F, VERBEUREN T J. Sympathetic activation and tachycardia in lipopolysaccharide treated rats are temporally correlated and unrelated to the baroreflex[J]. *Auton Neurosci*, 2005, 120(1/2): 35-45. DOI: 10.1016/j.autneu.2005.03.002.
- [41] GHOLAMI M, MAZAHARI P, MOHAMADI A, et al. Endotoxemia is associated with partial uncoupling of cardiac pacemaker from cholinergic neural control in rats[J]. *Shock*, 2012, 37(2): 219-227. DOI: 10.1097/shk.0b013e318240b4be.
- [42] HAJIASGHARZADEH K, MIRNAJAFI-ZADEH J, MANI A R. Interleukin-6 impairs chronotropic responsiveness to cholinergic stimulation and decreases heart rate variability in mice[J]. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 2011, 673(1/2/3): 70-77. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2011.10.013.
- [43] SHARSHAR T, GRAY F, DE LA GRANDMAISON G L, et al. Apoptosis of neurons in cardiovascular autonomic centres triggered by inducible nitric oxide synthase after death from septic shock[J]. *Lancet*, 2003, 362(9398): 1799-1805. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14899-4.
- [44] LAZZERINI P E, LAGHI-PASINI F, ACAMPA M, et al. Systemic inflammation rapidly induces reversible atrial electrical remodeling: the role of interleukin-6-mediated changes in connexin expression[J]. *J Am Heart Assoc*, 2019, 8(16): e011006. DOI: 10.1161/jaha.118.011006.
- [45] LEE S H, CHEN Y C, CHEN Y J, et al. Tumor

- necrosis factor- α alters calcium handling and increases arrhythmogenesis of pulmonary vein cardiomyocytes[J]. *Life Sci*, 2007, 80(19): 1806-1815. DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2007.02.029.
- [46] ZUO S, LI L L, RUAN Y F, et al. Acute administration of tumour necrosis factor- α induces spontaneous calcium release via the reactive oxygen species pathway in atrial myocytes[J]. *Europace*, 2018, 20(8): 1367-1374. DOI: 10.1093/europace/eux271.
- [47] DUNCAN D J, YANG Z, HOPKINS P M, et al. TNF- α and IL-1 β increase Ca²⁺ leak from the sarcoplasmic reticulum and susceptibility to arrhythmia in rat ventricular myocytes[J]. *Cell Calcium*, 2010, 47(4): 378-386. DOI: 10.1016/j.ceca.2010.02.002.
- [48] HU Y F, CHEN Y J, LIN Y J, et al. Inflammation and the pathogenesis of atrial fibrillation[J]. *Nat Rev Cardiol*, 2015, 12(4): 230-243. DOI: 10.1038/nrcardio.2015.2.
- [49] GRUNE J, YAMAZOE M, NAHRENDORF M. Electroimmunology and cardiac arrhythmia[J]. *Nat Rev Cardiol*, 2021, 18(8): 547-564. DOI: 10.1038/s41569-021-00520-9.
- [50] NATTEL S, BURSTEIN B, DOBREV D. Atrial remodeling and atrial fibrillation[J]. *Circ*, 2008, 1(1): 62-73. DOI: 10.1161/circcep.107.754564.
- [51] CLAUSEN T, FLATMAN J A. The effect of catecholamines on Na-K transport and membrane potential in rat soleus muscle[J]. *J Physiol*, 1977, 270(2): 383-414. DOI: 10.1113/jphysiol.1977.sp011958.
- [52] VICK R L, TODD E P, LUEDKE D W. Epinephrine-induced hypokalemia: relation to liver and skeletal muscle[J]. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, 1972, 181(1): 139-146.
- [53] HE W, HUANG L, LUO H, et al. Hypocalcemia in sepsis: analysis of the subcellular distribution of Ca²⁺ in septic rats and LPS/TNF- α -treated HUVECs[J]. *J Infect Dev Ctries*, 2020, 14(8): 908-917. DOI: 10.3855/jidc.12341.
- [54] RYZEN E. Magnesium deficiency in bacteremic patients[J]. *Arch Intern Med*, 1988, 148(1): 249, 253. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.148.1.249a.
- [55] VELISSARIS D, KARAMOUZOS V, PIERRAKOS C, et al. Hypomagnesemia in critically ill sepsis patients[J]. *J Clin Med Res*, 2015, 7(12): 911-918. DOI: 10.14740/jocmr2351w.
- [56] GARDIN A, GIAMMELLO F, TOSCANO A, et al. Severe hypomagnesaemia as new potential stroke mimic: a case report[J]. *Neurol Sci*, 2022, 43(6): 4007-4009. DOI: 10.1007/s10072-022-06009-8.
- [57] HANSEN B A, BRUSERUD Ø. Hypomagnesemia in critically ill patients[J]. *J Intensive Care*, 2018, 6(1): 21. DOI: 10.1186/s40560-018-0291-y.
- [58] CHERNOW B, BAMBERGER S, STOIKO M, et al. Hypomagnesemia in patients in postoperative intensive care[J]. *Chest*, 1989, 95(2): 391-397. DOI: 10.1378/chest.95.2.391.
- [59] WHITE R E, HARTZELL H C. Magnesium ions in cardiac function[J]. *Biochem Pharmacol*, 1989, 38(6): 859-867. DOI: 10.1016/0006-2952(89)90272-4.
- [60] BALIK M, WALDAUF P, MALY M, et al. Efficacy and safety of 1C class antiarrhythmic agent (propafenone) for supraventricular arrhythmias in septic shock compared to amiodarone: protocol of a prospective randomised double-blind study[J]. *BMJ Open*, 2019, 9(9): e031678. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031678.
- [61] MAYR A J, DÜNSER M W, RITSCH N, et al. High-dosage continuous amiodarone therapy to treat new-onset supraventricular tachyarrhythmias in surgical intensive care patients: an observational study[J]. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, 2004, 116(9/10): 310-317. DOI: 10.1007/BF03040901.
- [62] TRAPPE H J, BRANDTS B, WEISMUELLER P. Arrhythmias in the intensive care patient[J]. *Curr Opin Crit Care*, 2003, 9(5): 345-355. DOI: 10.1097/00075198-200310000-00003.
- [63] KIMMOUN A, LOUIS H, AL KATTANI N, et al. β 1-adrenergic inhibition improves cardiac and vascular function in experimental septic shock[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2015, 43(9): e332-e340. DOI: 10.1097/ccm.0000000000001078.
- [64] HAGIWARA S, IWASAKA H, MAEDA H, et al. Landiolol, an ultrashort-acting β 1-adrenoceptor antagonist, has protective effects in an LPS-induced systemic inflammation model[J]. *Shock*, 2009, 31(5): 515-520. DOI: 10.1097/shk.0b013e3181863689.
- [65] DUAN E H, OCZKOWSKI S J W, BELLEY-COTE E, et al. β -blockers in sepsis: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised control trials[J]. *BMJ Open*, 2016, 6(6): e012466. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012466.
- [66] HOU B, CAI K, LI Y, et al. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of esmolol in treating patients with septic shock[J]. *Medicine*, 2022, 101(13): e29124. DOI: 10.1097/md.00000000000029124.
- [67] MORELLI A, ERTMER C, WESTPHAL M, et al. Effect of heart rate control with esmolol on hemodynamic and clinical outcomes in patients with septic shock[J]. *JAMA*, 2013, 310(16): 1683-1691. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2013.278477.
- [68] ABOAB J, SEBILLE V, JOURDAIN M, et al. Effects of esmolol on systemic and pulmonary hemodynamics and on oxygenation in pigs with hypodynamic endotoxin shock[J]. *Intensive Care Med*, 2011, 37(8): 1344-1351. DOI: 10.1007/s00134-011-2236-y.

- [69] IBRAHIM-ZADA I, RHEE P, GOMEZ C T, et al. Inhibition of sepsis-induced inflammatory response by β 1-adrenergic antagonists[J]. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*, 2014, 76(2): 320-327. DOI: 10.1097/ta.000000000000113.
- [70] LEVY B, FRITZ C, PIONA C, et al. Hemodynamic and anti-inflammatory effects of early esmolol use in hyperkinetic septic shock: a pilot study[J]. *Crit Care*, 2021, 25(1): 21. DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-03445-w.
- [71] COCCHI M N, DARGIN J, CHASE M, et al. Esmolol to treat the hemodynamic effects of septic shock: a randomized controlled trial[J]. *Shock*, 2022, 57(4): 508-517. DOI: 10.1097/shk.0000000000001905.
- [72] DELLE KARTH G, GEPPERT A, NEUNTEUFL T, et al. Amiodarone versus diltiazem for rate control in critically ill patients with atrial tachyarrhythmias[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2001, 29(6): 1149-1153. DOI: 10.1097/00003246-200106000-00011.
- [73] CLEMO H F, WOOD M A, GILLIGAN D M, et al. Intravenous amiodarone for acute heart rate control in the critically ill patient with atrial tachyarrhythmias[J]. *Am J Cardiol*, 1998, 81(5): 594-598. DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9149(97)00962-4.
- [74] KHATAMINIA M, NAJMEDDIN F, NAJAFI A, et al. Effect of heart rate control with amiodarone infusion on hemodynamic and clinical outcomes in septic shock patients with tachycardia: a prospective, single-arm clinical study[J]. *J Pharm Health Care Sci*, 2021, 7(1): 37. DOI: 10.1186/s40780-021-00219-6.
- [75] WALKEY A J, EVANS S R, WINTER M R, et al. Practice patterns and outcomes of treatments for atrial fibrillation during sepsis: a propensity-matched cohort study[J]. *Chest*, 2016, 149(1): 74-83. DOI: 10.1378/chest.15-0959.
- [76] ZHANG X, YAN F, FENG J, et al. Dexmedetomidine inhibits inflammatory reaction in the hippocampus of septic rats by suppressing NF- κ B pathway[J]. *PLoS One*, 2018, 13(5): e0196897. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196897.
- [77] TAHA H S, YOUSSEF G, OMAR R M, et al. Efficacy and speed of conversion of recent onset atrial fibrillation using oral propafenone versus parenteral amiodarone: a randomized controlled comparative study[J]. *Indian Heart J*, 2022, 74(3): 212-217. DOI: 10.1016/j.ihj.2022.04.006.
- [78] BALIK M, KOLNIKOVA I, MALY M, et al. Propafenone for supraventricular arrhythmias in septic shock-comparison to amiodarone and metoprolol[J]. *J Crit Care*, 2017, 41: 16-23. DOI: 10.1016/j.jerc.2017.04.027.
- [79] CHEN C, KAUR G, MEHTA P K, et al. Ivabradine in cardiovascular disease management revisited: a review[J]. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*, 2021, 35(5): 1045-1056. DOI: 10.1007/s10557-020-07124-4.
- [80] WEI C, AL KATTANI N, LOUIS H, et al. I_f channel inhibition with ivabradine does not improve cardiac and vascular function in experimental septic shock[J]. *Shock*, 2016, 46(3): 297-303. DOI: 10.1097/shk.0000000000000593.
- [81] NUDING S, SCHRÖDER J, PRESEK P, et al. Reducing elevated heart rates in patients with multiple organ dysfunction syndrome with the I_f (funny channel current) inhibitor ivabradine[J]. *Shock*, 2018, 49(4): 402-411. DOI: 10.1097/shk.0000000000000992.
- [82] CARRARA M, HERPAIN A, FERRARIO M. Tachycardia control in septic shock with esmolol and ivabradine: a comparison on heart function[J]. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*, 2020, 2020: 2756-2759. DOI: 10.1109/EMBC44109.2020.9175624.
- [83] CARRARA M, NICCOLO A, HERPAIN A, et al. Reducing tachycardia in septic shock patients: do esmolol and ivabradine have a chronotropic effect only? [J]. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*, 2020, 2020: 382-385. DOI: 10.1109/EMBC44109.2020.9176309.
- [84] MARTIN R I R, POGORYELOVA O, KOREF M S, et al. Atrial fibrillation associated with ivabradine treatment: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials[J]. *Heart*, 2014, 100(19): 1506-1510. DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2014-305482.
- [85] CAMM A J, LAU C P. Electrophysiological effects of a single intravenous administration of ivabradine (S 16257) in adult patients with normal baseline electrophysiology[J]. *Drugs R D*, 2003, 4(2): 83-89. DOI: 10.2165/00126839-200304020-00001.
- [86] LÓPEZ-BESCÓS L, FILIPOVA S, MARTOS R. Long-term safety and efficacy of ivabradine in patients with chronic stable angina[J]. *Cardiology*, 2007, 108(4): 387-396. DOI: 10.1159/000108387.
- [87] PICHOT C, GÉLOËN A, GHIGNONE M, et al. Alpha-2 agonists to reduce vasopressor requirements in septic shock?[J]. *Med Hypotheses*, 2010, 75(6): 652-656. DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2010.08.010.
- [88] LIU Z, WANG Y, WANG Y, et al. Dexmedetomidine attenuates inflammatory reaction in the lung tissues of septic mice by activating cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway[J]. *Int Immunopharmacol*, 2016, 35: 210-216. DOI: 10.1016/j.intimp.2016.04.003.
- [89] GELOEN A, CHAPELIER K, CIVIDJIAN A, et al. Clonidine and dexmedetomidine increase the pressor response to norepinephrine in experimental sepsis[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2013, 41(12): e431-e438. DOI: 10.1097/ccm.0b013e3182986248.

- [90] MIRANDA M L, BALARINI M M, BOUSKELA E. Dexmedetomidine attenuates the microcirculatory derangements evoked by experimental sepsis[J]. *Anesthesiology*, 2015, 122(3): 619-630. DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000000491.
- [91] CIOCCARI L, LUETHI N, BAILEY M, et al. The effect of dexmedetomidine on vasopressor requirements in patients with septic shock: a subgroup analysis of the Sedation Practice in Intensive Care Evaluation [SPICE III] Trial[J]. *Crit Care*, 2020, 24(1): 441. DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-03115-x.
- [92] SIRMAGUL B, KILIC F S, TUNC Ö, et al. Effects of verapamil and nifedipine on different parameters in lipopolysaccharide-induced septic shock[J]. *Heart Vessels*, 2006, 21(3): 162-168. DOI: 10.1007/s00380-005-0874-0.
- [93] ZHENG L, HUNTER K, GAUGHAN J, et al. Preadmission use of calcium channel blockers and outcomes after hospitalization with pneumonia: a retrospective propensity-matched cohort study[J]. *Am J Ther*, 2017, 24(1): e30-e38. DOI: 10.1097/mjt.0000000000000312.
- [94] LEE C C, LEE M T G, LEE W C, et al. Preadmission use of calcium channel blocking agents is associated with improved outcomes in patients with sepsis[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2017, 45(9): 1500-1508. DOI: 10.1097/ccm.0000000000002550.
- [95] NASRAWAY S A, RACKOW E C, ASTIZ M E, et al. Inotropic response to digoxin and dopamine in patients with severe sepsis, cardiac failure, and systemic hypoperfusion[J]. *Chest*, 1989, 95(3): 612-615. DOI: 10.1378/chest.95.3.612.
- [96] HINSHAW L B, SOLOMON L A, FREENY P C, et al. Endotoxin shock. Hemodynamic and survival effects of methylprednisolone[J]. *Arch Surg*, 1967, 94(1): 61-66. DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1967.01330070063014.
- [97] LOEB H S, CRUZ A, TENG C Y, et al. Haemodynamic studies in shock associated with infection[J]. *Heart*, 1967, 29(6): 883-894. DOI: 10.1136/hrt.29.6.883.
- [98] RUDIGER A. Beta-block the septic heart[J]. *Crit Care Med*, 2010, 38(10 Suppl): S608-S612. DOI: 10.1097/ccm.0b013e3181f204ca.

[本文编辑] 商素芳